

INSURANCE CRASH  
JOHN BROWN'S LOSE  
MILLIONS ON LINE  
ACTION BY UNION MILITANTS

SHOWDOWN  
Gunter payroll warning  
Homes shortage  
Cousins  
shock

Britain  
rival to  
'Jumbo  
Jet' is  
scrapped

No. 12 July 1966

# SPEARHEAD

one-shilling

Wilson BANKS EARN  
£20 MILLION  
LESS

Very Factory  
Swiss  
£4 pay

worst crisis yet

Savings dip  
£779,000

INTIMIDATION

PRICES ROW  
Threat to focus

SELL-OUT  
Uncertain Markets  
Thousands Leave Land

OUTLOOK BLEAK  
CONFUSION  
NO WORK  
Disaster

Slipping  
DANGER  
ALARM  
markets  
problem

ELDO flop  
Wilson Ruins road  
Payments problem

FIRMS TEMPT B  
SKILLED WORKERS

SEA STRIKE MAY LAST  
TWO MORE WEEKS

chaos  
5,500 STANDARD  
MEN LAID OFF  
BY DISPUTE

POOR PAYMENTS  
Space Abdication

STEEL HEADACHES

Britain is  
under-cut

# BRITAIN'S CRISIS AND OUR ANSWER

Search for peace  
COLLAPSE

crisis ahead  
talks

on home  
loans

slump  
A factor  
critical close

untidily, for on  
depressing day

chaos  
in  
copper

Unions keep out  
low—Shawcross  
collaps

charges up

Britain  
Now in  
Bondage

HOUSING  
FIGURES  
SLIP BY  
3825

unrest  
CHARLES L

doubts worry  
the market

CORRUPTION  
LIKEL  
Dearer bread

£99m DEFICIT  
IN BALANCE  
OF PAYMENTS

SHARP FALL

60 STRIKES  
SO 15,000  
CAR MEN  
AID OF



# EDITORIAL

Forwarding Address:-  
c/o Albion Press  
106 Norwood High Street  
London S.E.27.

Editor:-  
JOHN TYNDALL

## Opportunity Calls

TODAY throughout the West there are signs that Nationalism is once again in the ascendant. In France, Germany, and the U.S.A., new attitudes are forming and new forces assembling themselves, all of which represent in some way or another a great new resurgence of the Right.

In Britain, as in these countries, the call for a new force of the Right becomes stronger every day, but in contrast the answer to this call is barely heard. The Right continues to drag its feet as far as real political influence is concerned.

This month, with the extra space available, we have given prominence to a survey of the essential tasks facing the British Right now and in the future. This survey will come as a jolt to certain Right-wingers, representing as it does perhaps the frankest yet introspection of the Right and its faults.

It makes no denial of the fine idealistic principles that inspire the Right's leading adherents. Nor does it criticise their dedication and preparedness for sacrifice.

But it plays their utter lack of appreciation of the mechanics of power politics, and their complete inability to think and plan on the scale needed for national success.

Above all it condemns the 'splintermentality' that causes them to think purely in terms of the success of their own little groups rather than the national cause as a whole.

It comes to the reluctant conclusion that to some groups and their leaders the struggle is more a matter of self-vindication than of national urgency. They argue over the merits of personalities and programmatic points that are utterly irrelevant while there is no power machinery to give effect to them.

Only this month two of them are at it again. "Our election results are better than yours," says one, "so there!" "Oh no," cries the other, "you've twisted the figures; WE did the best!"

Many columns are devoted to arguing whether one drop in the electoral ocean is a tiny bit bigger than another. All good fun for kiddies playing at being politicians, but quite pathetic for adult men putting themselves up as a serious alternative to the parties in power.

Can we wonder that, while little nationalist groups flog themselves without stint in back-street agitation, no-one takes them the least bit literally as a feasible political force?

The little men who talk about uniting Britain, the White Race, Europe, or whatever you prefer, cannot even unite themselves. Any talk of a common fight against a common enemy is treated by them as a sinister plot to undermine their own precious private identities.

Now is the time when we must cease the luxurious game of competing for title of the number one minority group. Now is the time when the Right must begin to think of defeating the Left.

History will not stand and wait for us indefinitely.

## How the West Helps its Enemies

NEVER before was there such glaring proof of the failure of Communism!

And never before was there such proof that it is only by the softness and stupidity of the West that Communism is kept alive!

Every year there is a great wheat shortage in Russia and China - despite both their huge resources in land, and their elaborate theories of 'socialist planning'.

And with each successive failure to provide bread from home sources there exists the potentiality of a tremendous popular revolt among the Russian and Chinese peoples.

Think of it - a revolt that would bring Communism crashing down in ruins, remove our two greatest world enemies, and give peace and security to the West that has not existed for fifty years.

Yet the West declines the opportunity. Huge quantities of wheat are sent regularly by Canada to the Communist countries to make up their deficits. The shortcomings in the Communist systems are not made to be felt by the people. Tolerance of the regimes continues.

Contrast this policy with that of the West towards Rhodesia!

Here a civilised people of British stock and one of the few remaining Western bulwarks in Africa is made to suffer needlessly through the application of trading sanctions.

The Western powers prop up their enemies while striving to ruin their friends!

Incredible, but real - in these fantastic times.

## Why Wilson Opposes

### Investment in Dominions

One can never start to understand the policies of recent British governments except against the background of the internationalist conspiracy of power to which they have been willingly subordinated.

This is particularly the case with the recent moves to curb investment in the White Dominions.

People may ask: what is the logic of this measure when we are tossing away boundless millions to hostile African countries, including a recent promise of a £21 million interest free loan to Kenya? The Whites in the Commonwealth are our friends and kinsmen, our natural allies. By investing in their future we are investing in our own future. On the other hand, money to the new Black states is just money down the drain.

All beyond reason to the ordinary observer, but not to those who have taken some trouble to study the real sources of world power, and the objectives that stem from them.

At the end of the last war, at the Conference of Bretton Woods, it was made clear that, following the defeat of Germany, the British World was marked out as next on the list for destruction and take-over. Recovery aid to Britain was promised on the condition of our support of GATT, the agreement whereby the economic unity of the Empire and Commonwealth was prevented. The aim was to estrange the British countries from one another, and thereby to make each of them individually powerless to resist absorption into the dollar orbit.

As Britain has failed to give adequate support in the development of the dominions, each of them has been forced, as to order, to turn to America, i.e. to

## International Finance.

As the true Commonwealth and Empire has been disappearing as a reality, a bogus idea of 'Commonwealth' has been erected in its place, based on a multi-racial myth that only the stupid take seriously. We have deserted our real partners in South Africa, Rhodesia, and Australia for illusory 'partners' in the coloured states.

Today High Finance is perfectly happy to see us squander money helping Jomo Kenyatta, so long as we do not support our own flesh and blood.

When Harold Wilson recently went scampering on all fours to the World Bank

## what

for a loan to bolster up the tottering pound, it is clear that certain conditions were made by the 'big boys' in return. Some involved the dismantling of our independent air industry so as to strengthen the monopoly of America. Others most certainly involved further concessions in the White Dominions, the countries on whom so much of our future depends.

There is rhyme AND reason for what is going on under our noses - if only we are trained as to where to look for it.

## How Take Overs are Made

As far as the 'big boys' of International Finance are concerned, the more Britain has to come begging to them for loans, the better.

With each successive loan, they obtain increasing control over the economy, and thus the political policies, of Britain. It is the same pattern as we see in the continual take-overs of industry. The take-over man comes to the rescue of a business in distress, and buys his way into the firm. Soon, before anyone knows what is happening, he owns majority shares, and the firm is his. Few people know that in many cases the process which started the firm's slide in the first place was largely of his own making.

It is likely that an identical process is taking place in the great Anglo-U.S. arms tangle.

Agreement was obtained for the purchase by Britain of the F111 bomber from America - at enormous loss both to British industry and Britain's dollar reserves. The bait was a reciprocal purchase by America of certain British bombs and missiles.

Now we hear that America has forgotten her promises, and will buy the latter from her own firms. Naturally this means another setback for Britain's drive to balance her trade.

It is too early yet perhaps to establish whether or not there is a connection between the powers which engineered the switch in U.S. arms purchases and those which stand to gain business by further loans for the tottering British economy, but an interesting fact to note is that one of the chief current advisors to Harold Wilson on British defence policy is Mr. S.G. Warburg, a prominent member of the huge international banking dynasty that has played a notable part in a recent loan arrangement.

## The Word that Spells Death

The propagandists of Communism have long recognised the suggestive power of words as an aid to the process of brain-washing their victims. Certain key words trigger off certain psychological reactions and shape certain attitudes.



Most readers will be familiar with many of the words in regular usage, but there is one word often dissociated from the Communist technique which nevertheless has perhaps a stronger hypnotic power than any other in the subversives' vocabulary; it is the word 'inevitable'.

The Communists and their allies know that they can never truly convert more than a very few weak and warped minds to their ideas. The rest of the people, at least in civilised and culturally developed nations, instinctively loathe all they stand for, whether it be race-mixing, collectivisation, pornographic literature and art, world government, homosexual law reform, 'mental health', urbanisation, family planning, etc.

But this worries our Red friends not in the least.

**we**

No need to persuade everybody that these things are good, right, desirable. Just say that they cannot be prevented; that they are 'inevitable'.

Have you not seen this word creeping into the picture in recent times? The method is always the same. The propagandist tells his audience that this or that "may be a good thing - may be a bad thing", but whatever happens, "it is coming; it is inevitable!"

The public today is completely hypnotised by this term, and as a result abstains from all effort to fight what is being introduced. What's the use of fighting it? It's inevitable!

This is the main cause of most of the apathy on the Right.

Talk to ordinary people, whether in factory or on farm, in office or in street. Most of them detest what is going on. They KNOW things are wrong.

But then they turn to you with a shrug and say, "What can you do?". The 'inevitability' of everything has paralysed them!

For the Communists and their agents of disintegration, the whole thing is just too easy. What matter if you only have a few friends - so long as you can immobilise your enemies.

This is how, above all, people have been conditioned to the decline of Britain as a great power. "It is inevitable" they say airily, and millions believe them.

**BRITAIN'S FALL FROM GREATNESS IS NOT INEVITABLE!**

Nor is the catalogue of cults and trends that poison our national life at every level; none of them are inevitable.

**THEY HAVE GAINED GROUND BECAUSE WE HAVE DONE NOTHING TO STOP THEM; BECAUSE WE HAVE BEEN STUPEFIED BY THE IDEA OF THEIR SUPPOSED 'INEVITABILITY'. A FEW THOUSAND TERMITES HAVE GAINED ASCENDENCY OVER ALL THE MILLIONS OF US THROUGH THE USE OF THIS LIE OF LIES!**

Next time you hear this kind of jargon, recognise it for what it is, and throw it back at those who trade on it.

Nothing is inevitable once man decides to muster all his resources of brain and will to fight it and conquer it. That is how our ancestors built the British Empire and colonised a quarter of the world.

If this quarter of the world is slipping from our grasp it is not because we have lost our sense of what is right, but because we need to rediscover our will to defend what we know to be right.

## Labour's War Heroes

We are continually appalled at the Labour Government's policy of disarming

Britain, but it is perhaps hardly surprising that the idea of defending one's country is out of fashion with the politicians of the Left. The leaders of Labour do not exactly have a distinguished record in this field.

Recently, as a matter of interest, we made some research into the war records of the top Labour ministers, all of whom were of fighting age during 1939-45. The results were interesting. At least half never saw battle at all.

Harold Wilson, as is known to most of us, spent the war years comfortably entrenched in the offices of the Ministry of Fuel and Power.

George Brown's recorded background shows a complete blank during the years of fighting. So does that of Frank Cousins.

Douglas Jay spent the time working in the Ministry of Supply and then the Board of Trade.

Arthur Bottomley was in the A.R.P. from

**think...**

1939 to 1941, and then for the remainder of the war was Deputy Regional Commissioner for South East England.

Maurice Foley, new boss of racial integration, is recorded as having worked in the E.T.U. from 1941 to 1946.

Other Labour leaders got as far as wearing uniform, but never apparently went anywhere near the firing line. One was Michael Stewart, who spent the war period as a Captain in the Educational Corps after transferring from the Intelligence Corps. Richard Crossman served his time in the Department of Psychological Warfare. Anthony Greenwood was a warrior in the Intelligence Branch of the R.A.F. after having spent the early war years in the Ministry of Information.

The respect a government gets from the people is very rightly related to the way in which its leading members rallied to the country's flag in times of emergency. After all, those who have the power to decide when and where we fight should have at least done some fighting themselves - providing they were old enough to have done so.



Just supposing that sometime in the future, this government of 'exemptions' and base-wallahs gave the orders to the British people to fight seriously wounded, war-time pilot hero, Ian Smith, just how many would respond? It makes interesting reflection.

## Shot but Full of Life!

Shooting Negro agitators is no way to combat the race-mixing movement, whether in America or here. It is to be condemned.

Nevertheless there is something extremely fishy about the recent incident in Tennessee involving 'civil rights' marcher, James Meredith.

First Meredith collects a body-full of shot from apparently close range but is not sufficiently hurt to prevent him

from crawling about the roadway looking for his assailant.

Next we hear that he is ready to be released from hospital a mere two days later!

This of course is not good enough for Meredith. Although the doctor declares him to be perfectly well, he refuses at first to leave his bed.

Then he changes his mind and appears in a conference room to read a statement to newspaper and T.V. reporters. Suddenly in the midst of it all he faints and is photographed for all the world being carried to a chair.

Finally, happy ending! He resumes the march.

Of course it all made magnificent publicity for the 'civil rights' movement - nobly martyrs, picture of wicked whites, vows of revenge.

And no doubt the world 'bought it'. Or did it entirely?

## Violent Youth

Fierce riots in Holland sparked off by young rowdies once more highlight the problem of growing violence among youth. When the usually phlegmatic Dutch become distinguished by this kind of behaviour, people are inclined to ask: where in the name of heaven will it all end?

We do not suggest a simple solution to juvenile violence. It is a complex problem that is not helped by sweeping generalisations and magical cure-alls. Nevertheless, there is one very definite factor that has made its contribution - a factor that the modern 'child-psychologists' would rather do anything than face.

Violence is but a symptom of the natural aggressive nervous energies that exist in every healthy male youth. In past societies these energies have been accommodated. The warrior spirit was valued; man was recognised as a fighter; aggressive self-assertion - both on the part of individuals and nations - was regarded not as sinful but as wholesome and natural.

The difference was that these natural instincts of aggression were directed into channels constructive and gainful to the nation. Youth was taught the values of defending one's country and making it strong. It was reared and trained in the soldierly qualities, and encouraged in the ways of MANHOOD rather than effeminacy. This way it found ample outlets for its vital energies and impulses.

Today, on the other hand, young lads as soon as they can walk are drenched in pacifistic, unpatriotic, 'love-thy-neighbour-even-if-he-spits-at-you' nonsense. Liberal and Left-wing school-marms, club leaders, psychologists, and priests gang up in one mighty campaign to emasculate youth, and discourage it from all healthy thoughts and all healthy habits. Young men are taught to exalt the cult of Carnaby Street rather than the tradition of Waterloo, Trafalgar, and the Flanders trenches.

What happens is what is bound to happen; youth builds up a great store of pent-up frustrated nervous energy, which finally bursts out in a wave of pointless hooliganism and thuggery. Then we all lament and ask: what is wrong with the young?

The truth is that there is nothing basically wrong with the young. It is their ELDERS who need putting straight. THEY have failed youth by rearing youth in false ethics and unnatural values. There was never such a glaring instance here of Goethe's renowned dictum: physician - heal thyself!



# Following Last Month's Feature . . .

## A LETTER FROM A COMMUNIST

Dear British Worker,

The main theme of the last issue of *Spearhead* interested me so much, I just had to drop you a line expressing my own comments.

I am a Soviet citizen. These days I am glad to be one. My friends call me a socialist, my enemies an imperialist. They are both right. You may think this incompatible, but as a Russian and a realist, I know this is not so. History is proving our point. We sow socialism and reap imperialism. Although both socialist and imperialist, I don't preach Nationalism - I don't need to! Events themselves fulfil our wildest nationalist ambitions. I am a nationalist in effect if not in cause.

I cannot subscribe to racist theories of superior nations, but this is difficult for me when I look around at the present state of the world. As a Communist, I will do all in my power to defend and proliferate my creed; yet your system, our self-styled enemy, allows us to undermine you with an ease I find almost incredible.

We Russians are no 'master race', but I really cannot credit our people with the stupid gullibility of the West. We would never stand the kind of rubbish we manufacture for your consumption, hence my feeling of superiority. Perhaps it is that all races are equal, but some are more stupid than others.

Here in Russia, compared with Britain (I can't call it 'Great'), we have a sense of mission, purpose and destiny. That you are not only unaware of why you exist, but even if you want to! We take pride in our land, people and monumental achievements; your countrymen vie with one another to insult and denigrate your nation and culture; whilst your system with its party crookery cannot decide the best way to finish your Empire, we are getting on with the task of developing our expanding one. Our sensible attitude towards work and the Russian good increases our strength and productivity, whilst yours founders through crippling strikes and the industrial disputes you allow us to foment.

Although an ardent Communist, I still have emotions, and can't quite stifle a sneaking sense of pity for your plight, but you really do deserve all you get! If you won't defend yourselves, don't expect us to do it for you.

One of our best triumphs is in the field of public relations and world opinion. Throughout the world there is a rising tide of Nationalism, yet whilst we, the anti-Nationalists, can capitalise on this, our world opinion mechanism can successfully condemn any Nationalist resurgence on your part!

Racism too is a useful weapon. We pose as the liberators of the coloured world whilst condemning your people who make more sacrifices for the non-Whites than we do. We only take a few agitators, train them and return them to revolt, while your people bear the dead weight of the Black Commonwealth on their backs: a Commonwealth, I might add, that regards us as better than you. It's a beautiful idea, we control the Commonwealth - you just pay for it! If you don't give the Black states what they want, then it's racial discrimination.

tion. If you want the same Nationalist fervour, it's Fascism. Heads we win, tails you lose - just like at Tashkent!

Progressive world opinion is, of course the best and only opinion. Have you ever wondered why nothing your country does is ever right in 'progressive' eyes? It's too simple for words. We MADE the 'progressive' opinion and therefore are the final arbiters of its interpretation. Only if you do what WE want you to, will you EVER be correct in 'progressive' eyes. Your country is, of course, hamstrung by its desire to conform to OUR standards and OUR values. It's another case of you paying the piper and us calling the tune. We wrote the rules and defined the terms of 'world opinion' so, as rivals, you can't expect to win when you play us at our own game, with the whole world as stakes.

Our public relations are good - they need to be, but it's well worth it. You would be surprised to know how easy it is to fool all the people, all the time. You British declared war on Germany for invading Poland in 1939. We liberated the other half of Poland two weeks later and finished the job in 1945, with a handsome territorial profit. Typically, you declared war on Germany and treated us as honoured allies, ruining yourselves in the process. In Suez you had a tough time whilst we were able to clear up a few 'misunderstandings' in Hungary. You got the U.N.O. intervention - not us! Anyone can learn our system - like China, whose liberation of Tibet from the Buddhist feudal system was a masterful stroke - and no adverse world opinion to bother about either! What a laugh, when you realise how pro-Buddhist we are in Viet Nam these days. Then there was the anti-Nazi clamour about the six million Jews, although how we managed to get you to forget the seventeen million Russians who perished in our teething troubles, even I will never know. I could go on like this for hours with examples, but what's the use? There are none so blind as those who will not see.

Our inspired leader, Karl Marx, said that the world revolution must come even if by force; but there's no real need for that these days. Co-existence works exactly the same, so we merely save on the ammunition. Why risk our forces when your people do the job just as well? Khrushchev once said that we will bury you. That's not strictly true; we'll sell you the shovels and watch you bury yourselves!

Of course we could not do this without help, which we get in plenty. Not only from our official party representatives, but from others, the internationalists, the 'universal brotherhood' merchants, the liberals, self-styled socialists, 'with it' clergy and certain hard working communities in each land without whose help and inspiration we would not be here today. We shall forever be grate-

'Oh wad some power the  
Gittie gie us  
Tae see oursels as others  
see us'  
(ROBERT BURNS)

ful for the help and facilitation we have been given.

One day you will learn that there is no such thing as co-existence. By then we will have liberated you from the responsibilities of running your own affairs. Existence will be your main pre-occupation then, as it is now with those lucky comrades who already live under the wing of benevolent Soviet Russian paternalism.

I like the British, so one day, perhaps in the none too distant future, I may get posted to Britain as an adviser to a Soviet British Government. Perhaps then I can help to cure some of the ills that you are now inflicting on yourselves with our encouragement.

I am a Soviet citizen. These days I am glad to be one - perhaps now you know why. Still, at this rate you won't have long to wait . . . . .

Sgd. Ivan Ivanovitch  
Peoples Commissar

## Thought for the Month

If you wish to lose free speech . . . . .  
If you wish your life to be controlled by tyrannical laws . . . . .  
If you wish the State to take control of your children . . . . .  
If you wish for a lower standard of life . . . . .  
If you wish to have a police state in which you can vanish without trace . . . . .  
If you wish your country to be completely under the heel of a foreign power . . . . .  
If you wish to end free elections . . . . .  
If you wish to lose the material rewards of a lifetime's work, your house, your business, your life's savings . . . . .  
If you wish to be directed where you must work . . . . .  
If you wish to see your religion undermined and finally crushed . . . . .  
If you wish to destroy the heritage that your forefathers left to you to pass on to your children . . . . .

.....THEN DO NOTHING; sit back and make yourself cosy in your apathy; let the subversion of your country continue; keep watching the goggle-box; remain preoccupied with your football matches and your bets on the pools; your darts at the pub and your bingo; while you are engrossed in these things . . . . .  
.....COMMUNISM is gradually positioning itself to take over your life. Is this what you want? Or don't you care? Whatever the case, you may be sure of one thing: let things be, and future generations will come to curse you!

G. BROWN

Read the Programme of the...

**GREATER BRITAIN  
MOVEMENT**

9d. (post-free) from:

C/O 108 NORWOOD HIGH STREET, LONDON, S.E.27



## In Focus

Latest report is that Harold Wilson will shortly be making his fourth trip to Washington since becoming Premier. So far Mr. Johnson has not condescended to come here. Appropriate comment from the DAILY EXPRESS: "The U.S. President has recently lost his favourite beagle. Is there a chance that the British P.M. will become his favourite lap dog?"

...

Most readers will have read about the soldier who was refused service in a Chinese restaurant in Leicester because he was wearing his uniform. We now wait for the warriors of C.A.R.D. to stage a massive sit-down there in protest against 'discrimination'!

...

CHRIST ARRESTED FOR WAR CRIMES! Cutting from the Jerusalem Examiner (A.D. 32)? No, Jewish Chronicle (A.D. 1966) - a German S.S. man by the name of Christ was reported as held recently in the Federal Republic on charges of wartime 'atrocities'.

...

DO YOU KNOW.....that since Labour came to power in 1964 the cost of living has gone up by 7.5 per cent? Just how much does this make Labour's much-vaunted wage increases worth?

...

**3 SHADES LIGHTER 3 DAYS**

SEE your complexion become lighter, brighter. New, improved NEVOLINE Bleaching Cream is unlike any product you ever have used before. No waiting hopefully for months to see the results you want. With the improved NEVOLINE formula we guarantee you will see your skin grow 3 shades lighter in just 3 days.

2 oz. jar \$1.25      Send cash, money order,  
4 oz. jar \$2.00      with the order -  
6 oz. jar \$3.00

PARIS IMPERIAL, P.O. Box 104-M  
Jackson Heights 72, N. Y.

'You too can have a light skin like mine!' - shades of the body-beautiful advertisements! This alluring promise was seen in an American magazine recently. Now no need for Civil Rights - just make 20 million jars of NEVOLINE!

## FACTS ON RHODESIA

- In 75 years, under 'brutal white oppression', the African population has increased by 1,200 per cent!
- Europeans, while earning about 30 per cent of the wages, pay 90 per cent of the taxes!
- A far greater proportion of Africans are at school than in any of the African ruled states, i.e. one in six, compared with Liberia's one in forty, Mali's one in sixty-one, and Ethiopia's one in one-hundred-and-eight.
- The average African wage in Rhodesia is £125 a year. In Kenya, it is £32, in Tanzania £18, and in Malawi £17.
- African wages are rising three times as fast as those of Europeans.
- Rhodesia has one hospital bed for every 330 people. Ghana and Sudan have one for every 1000, Liberia one for every 4000. Rhodesia has one doctor for every 7300 people. Ghana has one for every 18172, Liberia one for every 25700.

## On the Lighter Side ...

FOLLOWING our account last month of the adventures of Special Branch agent 0063, it has been borne out forcibly to us how determined and dedicated are some of the men whose lives are given to the unending struggle against the powers of darkness and evil.

This month our roving reporter interviewed Levi Goldman, crack agent of the Israeli special service detailed to track down former Nazi war criminals.

Goldman told us of his latest assignment, the bringing to justice of Dr. Karl Heinz Oberschifter, the notorious Ruhr industrialist who supplied the couplings for the railway trucks which carried millions to their death in the concentration camps of the Third Reich.

Goldman, with the aid of his staff of thirty-five thousand assistants, specially picked and trained over six years for the job, has succeeded in tailing Oberschifter to a remote village in the Andes, in which the now penitent doctor is said to be eking out a living as bell-ringer for the small village church of Santa Maria, unsuspected by the simple local populace. In order to ensure a swift capture, Goldman and his men have brought up ample reinforcements, including ten infantry div-

isions, fifty tanks, and four squadrons of bombers, made available by the Israeli armed forces. For the last eight years a close watch has been kept on all the wanted man's movements by a special platoon under the command of Goldman's chief deputy, Jack Sokolsky, late Balls Pond Road draper and chairman of the Stoke Newington Non-Violent Anti-Fascist Coordinating Committee. The platoon, cunningly disguised as mestizo peasants, have observed the one-time atrocity-monger through binoculars as every morning and evening he travelled by donkey to and from the scene of his work. After four years of ceaseless surveillance, it was firmly established that the figure under observation was in fact the wanted man, suspicion having fallen upon him some years previously one evening when, following the yearly festival of the saints, local residents had picked up the unfamiliar strains of the Niedersachsen Sturmlied emanating forth from the ancient church tower.

"Since 1944 I have lived and planned for this moment," Goldman related with a glint in his eye, "the trial and execution of this inhuman monster will mark up one more victory for tolerance, human dignity, and democratic values."

## Pansies' Emancipation

THE LATEST MONSTROSITY OF BRITISH LIFE AND ITS ROOTS IN THE LEFT

NAME any revolting cult of degeneration in our national life, and you can be sure to find the political Left, and its Liberal fellow travellers, strongly associated with it.

This is certainly true of the most hideous of modern developments in Britain: the movement for pansies' emancipation, known more soberly as 'Homosexual Law Reform'.

H.L.R. is the latest crusade to captivate the camp of the smart 'progressives' now that everyone has become bored with 'the bomb'. Crusade is the word, for the fanatical zeal with which these people campaign for their aims might make one believe that Britain stood on the threshold of everlasting darkness and damnation but for the national acceptance of legalised pouffery.

Who are the crusaders, and where lie their affiliations?

Heading the society is Prof. A.F. Ayer, well-known Left-wing intellectual on T.V. panels. Under him is an executive committee of thirteen other members. Nine of these are known leftists of one shade or another. They consist of: two Labour M.P.s, Leo Abse and W.T. Williams; two Left-wing Liberals, Lord Byers and the unspeakable Jeremy Thorpe; two far-Left Tories, Christopher Chataway and Norman St. John Stevas; Jacquetta Hawkes, well-known for her work with UNESCO; C.H. Rolph, member of the staff of the NEW STATESMAN; and the Earl of Huntingdon, who held an important ministry post under the Labour Government of 1945-50.

Under the executive committee is an 'honourary committee', comprising an impressive list of names, some of which will be most interesting to the public. Included are: Labour M.P.s Desmond Donnelly, H. Montgomery-Lyde (notorious as a circulator of viciously slanted reports against Rhodesia), Marcus Lipton, G.R. Strauss, W.T. Wells, and Mrs. E.L. White; more leftist Tories, such as Humphry Berkeley and Charles Loyde; more NEW STATESMAN pundits, Cyril Connolly, Kingsley Martin, and authoress of bedroom fiction Brigid Brophy; Left-wing writers Ethel Mannin and J.B. Priestley; Left-wing publisher Victor Gollancz; Dr. Eustace Chessier, well known for his books advocating race-mixing; Left-wing stage producer Peter Hall; Left-wing critic Kenneth Tynan (of four letter word fame); that terrible trio of pro-Red agitators, Earl Russell, Donald Soper, and Canon Collins; finally, mov-

ing up into the company of the really distinguished, the Archbishop of Canterbury himself, and, yes, Jo Grimond!

The society names among its objects: the promotion of 'psychological health' (!!!) in man by collecting data and conducting research; to publish the results in writing, films, and other media; to improve the social and general conditions necessary for such 'healthy psychological development'.

Large sums of money running into many thousands are appealed for on behalf of a financial subsidiary called 'Albany Trust', although the society is vague about the use to which it is to be put.

Such is the broad composition of yet another vile network of decadence thriving on the body of Britain. The pattern is familiar: plenty of eminent names, profession of great 'humanitarian' ideals, the usual jargon about 'social therapy', etc.

Of course the individuals concerned are the same as can be found, as a rule, in all manner of other movements serving the same aim of national decomposition; the same people who want friendship with Russia but war with Ian Smith, integration of the races, abolition of capital punishment, surrender in Viet Nam, the cultivation of pornographic art, and so on ad nauseam.

By their backgrounds we shall know them, and this latest abortion is no different. It is just one of the hundredfold ways in which subversion casts its slimy hand on the fabric of British life.



# BRITAIN'S CRISIS

## A Truly NATIONAL Economy

**B**BRITAIN'S basic trading problem is one of being a mass exporter in a world of ever-growing competition. This in turn is caused by the necessity to meet the huge bill for imports bought to sustain our standard of life.

For years we have been trying to attain a favourable balance of payments by selling more abroad than we buy from abroad. Other nations have been doing the same. It is a matter of simple mathematics that all cannot succeed. We are not succeeding.

Neither can we succeed while our export targets are in excess of the figure that it is reasonably possible to attain. This figure, when measured in percentage terms, has been going down for decades. It must go down as the world comes more and more to make the goods we used to make for it.

Meanwhile British industry suffers because it cannot produce for a stable market. A crisis overseas can mean a crisis at home. The price level is uncertain. We are continually vulnerable to bouts of unemployment.

The British worker suffers because wages must be kept down to meet cheap foreign competition.

The nation suffers because an internationally based economy means continual dependence. We have no economic freedom, and therefore no political freedom.

All this need not be.

Britain is as rich as any nation in the world in the technical skills of her people. Along with her Commonwealth she has the world's greatest natural resources. We are simply failing to put these skills and resources to proper use. We will continue to fail while we are wedded to a policy of internationalism.

The alternative is plain: an AUTARCHIC, NATIONAL system based on home market and Commonwealth.

The first step towards a national system is a great cut in imports. About forty per cent of our present import bill is for manufactured goods that we can perfectly well make ourselves. Exclude foreign goods by protection barriers and develop and expand home industries to meet our needs. This will provide secure employment for millions of British workers. Give support to great native industries, such as the aircraft industry, and stop buying from America. Create new work to bring our 'brain drain' technicians home.

Next, expand farming. Food accounts for about thirty per cent of our import bill. It is well within our reach to cut this down by half. Exclude our foreign imports in all but the few essentials. Keep the remaining import market for Commonwealth producers. The rest let us grow ourselves. Give a great boost to British agriculture, and encourage men to return to the soil.

Finally, raw materials. Here again great cuts are possible. Develop our new gas resources for alternate forms of fuel. Expand domestic nuclear energy, in which we lead the world. Use our surplus coal reserves to extract oil. What materials we still need from overseas let us obtain on a planned system of exchange with the dominions.

With imports thus reduced, we have a vast new market for British industry - on our doorstep! Furthermore we need depend much less on exports. At the same time, as with America, a strong home economy will help our export trade.

## Unity in Industry

**T**HE trade unions originated as a means of fighting genuine injustices in our industrial system. They have been an indispensable factor in social progress.

But now they have become simply a weapon for the disruption of the very industrial stability on which the welfare of the worker depends. In their present form they are neither beneficial to the nation nor indeed to the union member himself. Every gain won through a strike is nullified by the harm done to the national economy, and to the price level that the gain is designed to meet. Business is lost and employment endangered. Higher prices cancel out higher wages. Every way the worker is the loser.

Who, then, is the winner? Who stands to profit by the industrial anarchy that is steadily gaining the upper hand in our economic life? There can only be one answer: the agitator who is the source of the trouble, almost invariably an agent of the Communists.

Communism needs chaos in industry as a source of its own nourishment. It cares nothing for the ordinary wage earner who is made the victim along with the employer. Both are expendable tools in the Communist bid to take over the whole complex of industrial organisation. If the wage earner is more perceptive than the average, and sees how he is being used, he is duly bullied into submission - with threats made on his wife and family if he declines to bow to them himself.

We help Communism by our support of a completely outdated system of industrial relations in which it is allowed full and free use of all its most destructive weapons.

We must reform the system or be defeated by Communism.

The first point of reform must be to recognise the fact that today worker and employer are part of the community. Better wages for the one encourage better work and higher purchasing power - which needless to say help the other. Better profits mean more re-investment and a more prosperous industry - with the obvious possibilities again of better wages.

Make one great industrial community by the abolition of the present union structure. Merge all workers' and employers' representative bodies together. Establish equally representative tribunals to decide all claims. Make arbitration compulsory in all cases. Dash the strike weapon from the agitator's hands. Eliminate all practices that reduce efficiency and force up costs. Welcome automation as a boon to the worker rather than a handicap. Outlaw Communists from all official posts.

A radical policy? Yes. Illiberal? Perhaps. But an essential step in the fight to fit Britain for existence in the modern world.

## Tax Idleness

**B**BRITAIN today is a paradise for the lazy, at the same time it is the worst possible place to be an idler.

Our street corners, betting shops, cafes, ever-growing droves of idle and useless human beings, maintained by state charity, which in turn is maintained by the higher income groups, in most cases by the most deserving elements of the community.

The tax is paid, not on an equitable basis, but by the higher income groups, in most cases by the most deserving elements of the community. The year is only allowed to keep a small fraction of its income, used in turn to subsidise the failures of the system.

As a result there is little incentive to effort is not worth while if it brings hard work - or emigrate. Britain loses her best men, their skills and efforts are appreciated and rewarded.

To state these facts is nothing new. Millions of people know it is done. The nation continues to encourage idleness.

### ALL THE PARTIES . . .

.....acknowledge the alarming situation.

### EACH . . .

.....blames the other in an attempt to improve the situation.

### THE TORIES . . .

.....blame the Government because of its period of office.

### THE GOVERNMENT . . .

.....blames the Tories for what has happened.

### WE . . .

.....say the crisis is the product of the party or government, but of the whole system to which Britain is tied; indeed of the nation lives today.

### PARTY BICKERINGS . . .

.....will not lift Britain out of its present state.

### WE NEED . . .

.....sweeping changes in the system, a revolution in policies and in thinking, a determined endeavour along new lines and in a new direction.

### SPEARHEAD . . .

.....outlines this month the plan for the modern reconstruction of Britain in a new direction.

does this happen?

The answer is that we are stupefied by the enfranchised democracy the vote of the skilled worker and the successful industrialist, the former and less and less of the latter, to pander increasingly to idleness and waste of the community. Who wins elections is bought by those who can offer 'something for nothing'. Socialism cannot fail to see the consequences of the fact of having to keep the 'majority' measures needed.

At the same time, to infringe on the rights of the worker would be 'dictatorial', 'totalitarian', a violation of the principles of democracy.

So we are burdened with an ever-increasingly criminal. We pay dearly for our 'liberalism'.

It has got to stop. We have got to rest our case on the loafers off the streets. We have got to get it right.

The Socialists want 'equality'. Then let the low earner and the high earner pay the same. Let the low earner and the high earner pay the same. Let the low earner and the high earner pay the same.

Declare war on the tramps, beatniks, and gangsters. Close down the national assistance board. Free hand-out of the Dole. Make those who are idle on municipal works. Let the work-shy



# AND OUR ANSWER

## s-Not Effort

the incapable, and the inefficient; at the  
e for the creative and conscientious work-

, and national assistance offices teem with  
nity. These droves are kept fed and enter-  
maintained by a ludicrous scale of tax.

s by the whole population, but predominant-  
st cases the most industrious, skilful, and  
population. The man who makes £100,000 a  
on of it; the rest goes to the State, and is  
life, both at home and abroad.

work and better oneself. That bit of extra  
lly any reward. The creative and able take  
er best people. They go to countries where  
and rewarded.

illions know them and lament them, but noth-  
ourage idleness and penalise effort. Why

economic position of Britain today.

empt to make cheap party capital out of

se the position has become worse during

it calls 'thirteen years of misrule'.

uct, not of one or another particular  
political, economic, and social system  
the whole scale of values by which this

of crisis.

whole national structure and outlook: a  
g that will redirect the entire national  
w spirit.

principles that must be adopted for the  
his challenging world.

democracy' and 'liberalism'. In a commonly  
e loafer and ponce is as good as that of the  
alist. As there is bred more and more of  
the social programmes of the parties have  
akness at the expense of the useful members  
s who can 'hand out' the most. Votes are  
or nothing'. Even the zealots of egalitarian  
nces, but ideological dogma, together with  
rity' happy, make them powerless to take the

ights of the down-and-outs and the work-shy  
iolation of 'human liberties'.

ing army of the anti-social, and potentially  
sm'.

ore to people the value of work. We have  
e have got to get the WHOLE population pull-

et us start by having equality of taxation.  
the same percentage. Encourage skill, eff-

other social undesirables. Illegalise beg-  
board - except for the aged and infirm. Stop  
o want unemployment money earn it by assist-  
go hungry. They'll soon change their ways.

## Make Money the Servant

**T**WO things only create wealth: the natural riches of the soil, and the skills and energies of the people who live upon it. Money is merely a token of these things. Natural resources, and the way they are made use of, represent the economic strength of a nation; money represents nothing - except bits of metal and paper - unless and until it is related to those resources.

Money, from the national point of view, does not decide what is economically possible, for the nation can create as much money as it likes. Economic possibilities are decided by soil and labour. If the soil contains wealth, and labour the capacity to extract it and use it, then its creation is possible, physically speaking. And that which is physically possible must always be financially possible.

But this simple principle is defied by the workings of our present monetary system. Riches abound in the earth. Men are available to extract them and exploit them. Yet the money is withheld which would give them the go-ahead to do so, and which would give the people purchasing power to buy what they could produce. Money in circulation is not equated to wealth capable of production. Therefore only a fraction of the wealth is created which COULD be created. We have shortage in an age of plenty. Why?

Because the nation does not control its supply of money. This is controlled by the powers of Private Finance, who create money not to sustain and develop industry but to make profits for themselves!

Private Finance creates money by allowing business to draw cheques many times in excess of what it has available to lend. It receives exorbitant interest in return. In times of 'boom' it creates such money by abundant 'loans' many times in excess of the productive rate of industry, thus causing an inflationary economy with money continually losing its value. Then it causes slump by the tightening up of the same 'loans'. Industry is starved of money and runs down. Its debts to finance are then repaid by the latter taking over its assets. Finance becomes the ruling factor in our economic life. The tyranny of 'capitalism' to which leftists refer is not a tyranny of productive free enterprise; it is the tyranny of omnipotent finance.

While industry runs down, workers are made idle and wealth is wasted.

When government says it 'cannot afford' to do this or that, it does not mean that there is not wealth or labour available; it means only that Private Finance will not condescend to release the money. Because money is the master and calls the tune, productive industry can never sustain working at full capacity.

The remedy is plain: the State, acting for the nation, should step in and control the power of money. Government should supervise monetary issue, equating it at all times to the productive capacity of the nation, at the same time freeing the economy from the crippling burden of interest.

With monetary issue controlled and increased systematically with the growing wealth produced by industry, the market is kept nourished with purchasing power, and prices are made stable. At the same time wages can rise with the steady rise of production, and an inflationary economy is avoided. Money retains its value, and the honest investor receives just reward while the speculator is eliminated.

Without the tyrannical national burden of interest, taxation can be greatly reduced.

## Government Faith in Britain

**W**E want a government which has faith in Britain; in the skills and energies of the British people.

This Government, and its Tory opponents, only has faith in America. We must turn to America, they say, for jet aircraft, missiles, and other advanced technical products.

It is all done in the name of economy. But it is NOT economic! Nothing is economic which deprives skilled workers of the opportunities for employment, which wastes great quantities of foreign currency reserves, which gives a technological lead to our rivals, which results in the best of our brains going abroad.

To save a few millions today, we are wasting hundreds of millions tomorrow. We are pawing our future - just to get an incompetent government out of trouble.

France has smaller economic reserves than we have. But France at least has some faith in her native technicians and industries! Even tiny Sweden faces the job of supplying her own military aircraft rather than surrendering to foreign competitors!

British skill made the TSR 2, the world's finest bomber, years ahead of its time. It made the Super VC 10, the world's favourite civil jet-liner. It made Blue Streak, which could have carried our nuclear deterrent. Yet these products have not been supported by the Government. The TSR 2 was scrapped, at a waste of countless millions, Blue Streak confined to space research - inadequately supported. Our state airlines are passing over the VC 10 in favour of American aircraft.

We invented the hovercraft, and the vertical take-off plane. Yet the lead in these fields has been squandered to others. They will reap where we have sown.

Meanwhile America's technical lead is being maintained largely by scientists and technicians poached from Britain - because of inadequate scope and reward here!

Support of modern technology is not just a matter of prestige and national honour. It is a vital investment in the future. When the Government can provide free medical prescriptions to all and sundry, and hundreds of millions of aid to countries who spit in our eye, it has no business to say it 'cannot afford' the vital cost of keeping Britain up to date in the Space Age.

The answer? Develop our own industries in concert with the dominions. Pool our resources of research and basic costs. Make the Commonwealth a first class power in the full modern sense. Do not rely on America!

Let us have a government with real faith in the technical resources of the British people, and in the productive power of British industry. Then let us harness these assets to a determined programme aimed at placing Britain once more on her own feet!



Never Has Opportunity Beckoned Us So Strongly; Will We Grasp It?

# ● ● WHERE IS

**I**N more ways than one the General Election of 1966 can be said to have marked a turning point for the Right in Britain.

The humiliating defeat of Conservatism has served only to underline our repeated contention that there is now in Britain no longer any great political force representative of patriotic Right-wing principles. The Conservatives deserved everything they got, since for years they have ceased to be a party of the Right, and have become nothing more than an aimless bandwagon for selfish opportunists whose political course veers uncertainly between Left and Centre, an amorphous motley of tired and confused spirits which has totally lost any ideological basis for its own existence. Even should the Tories rally to defeat Labour at the next election there will be cold comfort in their victory. It would not be a victory for the Right but only a barely discernible slowing down of the process of national surrender to which both leading parties have been committed without reserve since the end of the War.

Contrary to what some may believe, the demise of Conservatism is a boon to the Right, since it helps to end a long confidence trick played on patriotic voters, and clears the way for what we have long maintained is the Right's only hope; an entirely new political movement based on uncompromising nationalist principles, and firmly adapted to the new age of modern power.

Today the opportunity for the rise of such a new movement is greater perhaps than ever before. Will we see an attempt to grasp this opportunity so that by the next election the Right becomes, if not yet a contender for actual power, at least a serious new force in the arena of British politics?

## Prerequisites of Power

For our answer we must consider first of all the essential prerequisites for a rightist resurgence, and then decide how far along the road we are towards realising those prerequisites; finally we must examine what must yet be done to make up the leeway that exists.

Needless to say, the first prerequisite of all is the existence of a thoroughly sound case, and by that I mean a case which not only IS sound, but which can be SEEN to be sound by a great number of people in the light of the present situation. There is not the slightest doubt that this prerequisite exists.

Unfortunately, however, there is an almost inbred tendency of rightists to consider the existence of a sound and righteous case as being the lone requirement of victory. In fact, as modern politics show, this is very far from being the case.

No case or cause has as much as a prayer in the world today unless it has the essential equipment to make its voice heard. In the case of a would-be political party with a prospect of national power within a reasonable period this means a vast machinery of campaign

the need of which I fear has not even started to enter the heads of some of our valiant idealists of the Right. It means an alliance of resources, human and financial, so far in advance of anything the Right has at the present time that if the leeway is to be made up we are going to have to see a drastic upsurge of effort from rightists everywhere, and this itself is going to need a progression to entirely new dimensions of thinking on the part of many rightist leaders.

Let us consider for a moment the question of personnel, that is of leadership. Has anyone on the Right even started to think what this entails once we enter the regions of real political power? It means much more than a tiny handful of miniature 'fuhrers' lording it over miniature cliques, of back-street agitators apprenticed in the art of soap-box oratory. It means a vast nation-wide network of able people, competent to influence the very top levels of society and business in every locality between Lands End and John o' Groats; people from whose ranks it is possible to draw experts in every field of politics and administration. Most of all it means people of the commonsense maturity of outlook to be able to work together as a cohesive force, and cement the vast organisation at their disposal into a unity which will endure the vicissitudes of power-politics and prove stronger on the day of reckoning than the entrenched forces of the present establishment.

Then let us consider the crucial question of money. Again we may ask; do some of the leaders of the Right really have any idea of the monetary implications of our struggle? Do the men who set targets of a few hundred pounds to pay for minute projects of campaign really have any conception of the monetary dimensions involved in building a proper nation-wide political movement?

Money has always been an important factor in political power, but there can never have been a case when this was so as much as in the present. In bygone days the great demagogue could stand free of charge in the town square and perhaps sway the multitudes with the force of his oratory - multitudes that were poor and hungry and not diverted by modern amusements, multitudes who were too illiterate to read the few newspapers that were circulating around. In the latter twentieth century, however, we need hardly be told how conditions have altered. This is the age of the huge press and publishing combines, of the nation-wide T.V. networks; these are the modern weapons of political power, and they involve huge resources of money. This is the age in which big business, as never before, calls the political tune. Any political campaign which has not a financial foundation measured in the millions is doomed to utter impotence - no matter the soundness of its case or the spiritedness of its fight. Sheer weight decides the issue today, and this weight, when measured in modern terms, is something the Right has barely

begun to think about, let alone attain.

## No Plan - Only Division

In the face of these tremendous requirements, what practical course of action has the Right to offer? What PLAN has the Right for development along the lines that lead to national power?

Sad to relate, the Right seems to have no real plan at all!

One cursory look at the organisations which form the nationalist wing of British politics will show that most of them have not progressed beyond the scale of thinking of the Hyde Park tub-thumper, who mounts his little wooden platform every Sunday more out of a sense of moral duty to himself than any hope of influencing the great wide world around him.

The present deployment of Right-wing nationalist forces in Britain consists of about six or seven groups of known repute, with heaven knows how many other smaller bodies of people whom one scarcely ever hears about. These groups are not even co-ordinated, but work separately from one another in an atmosphere which at best is friendly but unco-operative and at worst amounts to virtual warfare. Needless to say, the divided state of these groups results in all of them being very, very small and very, very weak, even if some take pride in the fact that they are less weak than others. They are, of course, small and weak because their splintered financial resources never allow them to campaign on any scale other than that which reaches a tiny section of the public. Of this tiny public a yet tinier number pluck up the courage to join and give support, whilst the majority, being as the majority always are, of timid and unheroic disposition, prefer to wait and join something which is numerous and strong. For the number that are moved to do something, the six or seven Right-wing groups compete with one another to gain recruits, one's gain being the other's loss, and each therefore being forced into the unfortunate attitude that its own strength and growth is best served by the decline and eclipse of its fellow rightists and patriots.

To any outside observer concerned with the practical mechanics of political power there must seem neither rhyme nor reason in the division of already small and weak forces into even smaller and weaker fragments. The logic, or illogic, of such a situation only becomes comprehensible when one has an insight into the psychology that permeates these little Right-wing groups, particularly their echelons of leadership.

## Typical Attitudes

Talk to many a typical Right-wing splinter group leader and you get the impression that the thought that his principles cannot be realised without a practical programme for power is the very last thing that has ever entered his head. He will speak to you eagerly about the few dozen people he has managed to convert as if he were running a



This Month JOHN TYNDALL Surveys The British Scene And Asks.....

# THE RIGHT?

local campaign for the abolition of electric pylons or Sunday sports. Should you attempt to raise with him the matter of ultimate national victory and the ways and means of achieving it, you will immediately be made to feel you are talking a language completely foreign to everything he understands. "Oh well," he will say, "the enemy has all the money and power," ending with a fatalistic shrug which suggests that the situation to which he has just referred is something that exists by heavenly decree. Talk further to him or his colleagues and you are left with the feeling that all that really matters is that they are able to carry on with a conscience-salving 'good fight', and that if victory remains a dream it is the fault of providence and not themselves.

The other would-be leader is perhaps even more pathetic. He discusses 'power' with the utmost seriousness as he sits there at the head of his tiny group of stragglers. To hear him talk one would think his vaunted regime was just around the corner, with the Prime Minister's seat of office being dusted ready for him to slip into it. As he squats at his dingy desk, pausing now and then to issue orders to teenage deputies, he elaborates about the great world-shaking measures that HE is shortly going to put into force. As he plans the next ten-man demonstration, he does so with all the aplomb of a conquering general moving masses of motorised divisions for a great history-making onslaught.

The two types we have examined, widely different in certain respects, have at least one thing in common; each is quite convinced, to the degree of regarding all contradiction as positively indecent, that he and his tiny group can successfully fight their struggle ALONE, and without recourse to anyone else, even if indeed they be rightists and patriots. Both are the living embodiment of the splinter mentality.

Of course to each HIS group is never the 'splinter group'; all the others are! It is THEY who have intruded into HIS self-arrogated territory. HIS group is the only true one; every other one earns the title of 'traitor', 'imposter', 'lunatic fringe', 'agent provocateur', or all four.

The official publications of the various groups follow a similar pattern. There is scarcely one which does not at certain intervals feel the need to devote a whole page to a sneering denigration of other groups and their efforts - together with frantic disclaimers of 'any association' with them, as if the world was bating its breath to hear whether the groups were associated or not!

## Sources of Disunity

Sincere rightists are continually asking the question: why, in the service basically of one cause and against one enemy, do we have to have this continued division of groups and the resultant duplication of effort? To this question

the splinter exponents are never short of stock answers.

To analyse the answers in full would take a book. But fundamentally they boil down to a) differences in tactics, and b) conflicts of personalities.

As far as the tactical differences are concerned, one wonders whether there has been a political movement in history whose adherents have not been in controversy over some tactical matter or other. The fact that such tactical arguments exist is not itself remarkable. What is beyond comprehension is how such arguments should have ever been allowed to divide men in a struggle in which failure to work together carries with it the utter certainty of defeat.

The Labour Party today provides the best possible example of an organism made up of the most varied components, moderate and militant, orthodox and non-conformist, ex-Communist and anti-Communist, public school and secondary school. No doubt these varied elements could find every bit as much reason for being divided as do the gentlemen we have been discussing, but each, with all his sins, realises one thing: that without unity there would be no Labour Party, and consequently no organised Left. Must the Left always show the Right how things should be done? At the moment at least it seems so.

Personal conflicts are of course another thing, and it is to be lamented that supposedly adult men should allow themselves to be so swayed by these affairs to be blind to all higher priorities.

As far as tactical and personal differences are concerned, it is a matter of speculation as to just where one ends and the other begins. Though the conscious mind may seriously believe that a source of division is genuinely political, it is only too often that the subconscious promptings of the private ego intrude to affect one's judgement of a political issue. Otherwise we would see at least an attempt to thrash out tactical disagreements by reasoned discussion. But the fact that most leaders of the Right are loath to even enter the field of discussion and negotiation, to just sit round a table and TRY to thrash out some of their differences, surely shows that it is first a case of the spirit being unwilling, and only secondly of the mind being unpersuaded.

## Jealous

Perhaps the greatest trouble is that too many Right-wing leaders look upon their activities as being primarily a matter of self-vindication, a kind of private enterprise in which, as creators and chief workers for their concerns, they feel loath to take in partners who might share some of the profits. Most of them are the supreme and absolute authorities in their respective groups. By their sides they have deputies many of whom are as keen to pander to the vanity of this authority as they are to build little sub-spheres of authority of their own, where they in turn may be

looked up to by even lesser lights of their own choosing. Each is morbidly jealous of his or her own realm of authority and personal status, however pitifully small it may actually be, and the very thought of embracing great numbers of new allies into the struggle, with the result of an expanded and doubly resourceful movement, serves only to frighten such little officials with the nightmare spectre of intrusions made into his hitherto sacred field of officialdom by new men who might be better and bigger than him.

By this depressing process of psychology we arrive at a situation in which certain leaders, and perhaps even more of their followers, actually FEAR genuine success and growth, actually SHIRK victory - insofar as those things open up horizons of power and responsibility which are beyond the scope of their minds to conceive.

Let this analysis seem a little fantastic, let me affirm that such people are in no way consciously desirous of actual failure or defeat, only that their eyes are focussed through lenses in which all practical visions of the path to victory are either blurred or non-existent. They represent that not uncommon species of combatant to whom the chase has become, at least subconsciously, of more virtue than the prize.

They are happy in their present meter, worshipped by a few and ignored by the rest of the world. To venture beyond seems to offend the digestion.

To minds in such condition, the grammatical arguments that divide the Right are an effect rather than a cause. They seek to rationalise their attitudes and in doing so seem almost pleased to discover a vast wealth of little points of support. Within the limited field of existing rightist politics these points may well seem valid. It is only when one surveys the great wide field of national power in the context of the crucial struggle of Right versus Left that they appear in all their naked futility.

For no matter how powerful the arguments for division may be, they fade and collapse when confronted with the decisive fact that continued division is going to mean continued weakness, and continued weakness is going to mean continued failure. And in the event of continued failure the disagreements in question have absolutely no relevance, since in the event of power being non-existent they relate to aims that are purely hypothetical.

## The Only Way

The basic fact is that the Right, particularly in its present infant stage of development, simply cannot afford the luxury of having a multitude of separate organisations to represent every minute shade of opinion. It must learn the lesson, long learnt by its opponents, that politics is first of all a question of POWER; that principles are meaningless without a practical programme for

(Contd. on next page)



DENIS PIRIE -

# THE LAND AND OUR SURVIVAL

(To be Continued)

In any country agriculture is the most important of basic industries since it is the one that provides food to sustain its population. The present critical economic situation reveals Britain's need to import food and raw materials. These have to be paid for by exports, a thing that is becoming, for various reasons, increasingly difficult to do. To remedy this immediate crisis of a widening trade balance of payments, one of two things can be done; increase exports or decrease imports. The former solution, even if possible, is no long term answer. Only a decreased reliance on imports will succeed in the long run. To achieve this, we must primarily look to agriculture to bridge the gap by supplying a greater percentage of our national food consumption.

Self-sufficiency or agricultural autonomy is both a necessary and achievable aim. The major world powers hold that status mainly because they rely on no outside influence for the necessities of

life and cannot therefore be held to ransom by foreigners. Another vital reason for autonomy is the undoubted fact that as the global population explosion continues, food exporting countries will have less surplus available to export because of increasing home requirements. We however will have a proportionately larger need for this increasingly scarce imported food which in turn will place our nation even more completely at foreign mercy. This means that unless alternatives are planned Britain may well be faced with future starvation! Britain is at war - a war for her survival!

With a predominance of industry, Britain's economy is unbalanced. This must be rectified by the development of agriculture. We can, with a little effort, achieve a useful degree of autonomy, but to do this, we must re-examine agriculture in all aspects and re-assess its potential and vital importance to the nation.

## WHERE IS THE RIGHT? (contd.)

the realisation of power; and that national power, just like world power, is attainable only through a realistic and pragmatic use of whatever alliances offer themselves on the way.

The Right lacks nothing in the way of principle. What it has failed to do so far is to find a plan for power. While such a plan is lacking, the forces of nationalism in Britain are going to continue their fruitless vegetation in the barren reaches of political no-man's land.

On the other hand, a plan of power means an entirely new scale of thinking. It means focussing on great horizons rather than small ones. Above all this entails finding a workable basis for a political party which will embrace and employ ALL the combined resources and talents that the Right has to offer, which, though small enough, at least offers a springboard for success in the future.

The Right might meanwhile struggle on measuring its progress in tortoise-like strides, and repeatedly lament that were it not for the great problem of money tremendous advances might be made. But assuming that there were great resources of money waiting to be put at the disposal of the Right, just what encouragement would the would-be donors have to give the backing needed - as long as they were confronted with a Right-wing movement that represented nothing but an incohesive mass of jealously squabbling tin-pot Caesars, more concerned with the pursuance of private vendettas than with the ultimate aim of national salvation?

Money will find its way into the Right when the Right shows itself as a fit investment - not before. Men schooled in big business are not going to waste their hard-earned means on miniature politics.

### Coming of Age

This has been a brutally frank article of introspection that is bound to offend some rightists who love to think that all is well with their cause. One may ask, when all is said, what of US and OUR small movement? I can only answer that the assessments of smallness and weakness, and indeed of past errors in

thinking, are not meant to exclude ourselves any more than anyone else. There is but one distinction that I claim for us, and this without any great satisfaction, and that is that we alone at this time of writing have given concrete proof of our sincerity in wanting a united national front. Earlier this year it is on record that we approached two other well-known Right-wing organisations with the proposal that discussions be initiated towards a plan of unity between us. These were in both cases turned down. Previous to that, proposals had been made to other well-known groups of combined action on certain vital national issues. These had been ignored.

I cite these instances so as to refute the thought, should it exist, that we are preaching one thing and practising another.

As is no doubt the case with other groups, we feel that the results of our work are gratifying. We feel that we are getting somewhere. But we nevertheless realise that in relation to the needs of a movement for national power we are not even 'off the ground'. We realise that we cannot do without the personal abilities and material resources of those in other groups any more than they can do without ours. The question is: do they have the inkling of a similar realisation?

As we now survey the current political panorama in Britain, with the various openings that it offers, we must raise the crucial question: where is the Right? The answer must be faced; at the moment the Right is nowhere - for the very plain and straightforward reason that the Right has not yet come of age.

The corridors of power, with their accompaniment of the grave burdens of national and world responsibility, may seem sweetest from afar. But when entered they are only accommodating to those of statesmanlike turn of mind and mature adult mien. They are not for the prima donnas and hobbyists of politics, whether Right or Left.

Power must be the ultimate aim of the Right, but power will not come unless the Right is fit to see it and grasp it. Let us prove now that we are big enough for the task.



## Wasted Land

Land, the raw material, must be available. It is criminal to see good farming land frittered away on other forms of development, which may well increase the population of an area, but proportionately decrease the ability to sustain that population. Our planning authorities must guard the nation's larder seriously, but to do this they must recognise agriculture's supreme importance. The voice of farming must be heard on the councils of planners, who should be as concerned with the development and utilisation of new agricultural land through schemes of land reclamation, afforestation and the promotion of intensive farming as they are with social or industrial expansion.

Although Britain's farmers already give the country a commendable lead in the matter of productivity, this can and must be further improved. The overall quantity and quality of the yield is more important than the number of acres needed to produce it, so we must farm all available land, not merely that which has been hitherto regarded as good arable land. As conditions of soil and weather vary throughout the land so too will the yield, but the aim must be to produce the maximum possible quality crop. To this end the use of new techniques and aids, production per acre can be vastly increased, while the availability of reclaimed new land will even further improve the situation.

In developing the land for the still mightier agricultural industry of the future, we must never lose sight of what basically motivates the farmer. A man's relationship to the soil he tills is much deeper than the industrial worker's loyalty to his company or trades union. It has been described as a love, a sense of purpose, a source of satisfaction. Define it how you will, this bond of kinship and belonging is the driving force behind those who grow our food; a force much greater than money or kudos.

## Bond with the Soil

A farmer whose forebears have farmed the same land for generations has an attitude towards his soil that is invaluable and irreplaceable. We as a nation must safeguard and nurture this bond at all costs. Such men have a deep knowledge and respect for their land, and are therefore the best people to be entrusted with its husbandry in the best interests of the national community. Because this task is so vital to the nation, the right to farm land should be the sole prerogative of those who are capable of fulfilling this duty entrusted to them by the nation.



## GREAT BRITONS

by Pendragon



No. 3

### Edward I

1239 - 1307

EDWARD I, not to be confused with the Confessor, was a man of great political ideas with the ability to put those ideas into practice. Throughout his reign he strove to build not only a united English nation but a united British race. After repeated forays against the Welsh he succeeded in bringing that country under the Crown. His efforts to do the same with Scotland were not as rewarding and eventually gave rise to an alliance between the Scots and France against England which unfortunately held up Union for centuries.

Edward's Statute of Westminster in 1285 greatly improved and standardised the administration of justice and the Army. His concern for the well-being of his people was expressed in many other Statutes designed to protect the British nation. In 1289 he banished the Panel of Judges for corrupting the justice he loved. The following year he expelled all the Jews from the kingdom under his famous Statute of Jewry. The Jews, despite the fact that Britain was the last part of Europe to be penetrated by them (after 1066), had so debased the value of money and were increasing their numbers so rapidly that it was feared that these aliens would shortly ruin the country. As moneylending was forbidden by the Church to all Christians the departure of the Jews resulted in the eradication of usury in Britain for many generations.

Perhaps Edward's best known act was the calling in 1295 of the Model Parliament. This was the birth of Parliament as we know it; the gathering of the three Estates - Church, nobles and people. Edward's son, born in Wales, was titled Prince of Wales thus cementing the bonds between the two countries and establishing the tradition that the heir apparent always bears that title.

With his death at Carlisle when leading his Army once more against the Scots the people lost in Edward not only a valiant and fearless leader in battle but an enlightened and just ruler in peace. His governmental reforms undoubtedly raised the British people from partisanship to a new patriotism.

## G.B.M. MEN FINED

ON June 29th, after many long drawn-out weeks of postponement, the much publicised 'offensive weapons' case involving seven G.B.M. members ended.

The case followed two years of repeated intimidation and violence brought against the G.B.M. by members of an alien gangster group determined on smashing patriotic organisations by force. After a long record of incidents, including a massed assault on the Viking Bookshop and an armed attempt on the life of G.B.M. leader John Tyndall, the movement's executive decided upon the necessity for some elementary self-defence - this only being resorted to reluctantly after continual attempts to obtain police action against the attackers. As a result, a number of wooden staves were obtained and kept in the bookshop, as well as being carried in the movement's motor lorry in case of attack during outside activities.

On March 19th the lorry was stopped by the Police, and the eight occupants were immediately prosecuted for 'carrying off-

ensive weapons in a public place without lawful authority or reasonable excuse'.

The case for the defendants was based upon the existence of the latter factor: reasonable excuse, and during the trial, which took place at Marylebone Magistrates Court, abundant evidence was supplied as to the activities of the terror group.

It was finally ruled, however, that even in the extenuating circumstances the use of such weapons was not permissible, although no attempt was made to suggest alternative action - other than representations to the Police, which had been repeatedly ignored.

John Tyndall was finally found guilty, and fined £20 with 10 guineas costs, on top of his incarceration in jail on remand for nearly a month. Paul Trevelyan, John Hammond, Robert Hylands, and Gordon Brown were each fined £10 with 2 guineas costs. David Rowley was fined £15 with 3 guineas costs.

Carole Dunnett was discharged.

## Opponents Shirk Debates

IT'S the same old story! They scream abuse at us, but they haven't the guts to face us. They blab about their precious 'free-speech', but are terrified to let US speak. They shout that we are a 'threat', but they have no desire to answer the threat!

This is the story of the numerous busybodies who over the past two years have sought to silence the voice of the G.B.M. by denying us the use of countless public halls, and then when challenged to the time-honoured democratic tradition of the public debate have conveniently squirmed out of it.

When the Viking Bookshop was opened in Norwood in April 1965, a public outcry followed, carefully stoked up by the local press. Various local nonentities of the Tory, Labour, and Liberal Parties obtained a bit of much welcomed personal publicity by announcing their various plans to get the shop 'banned'!

Immediately, letters were sent to these gentlemen suggesting that since they felt so strongly about things surely the fairest thing to do was to meet John Tyndall or another G.B.M. speaker in

public debate. Then the local populace would have the chance of finding out exactly what it was all about.

Later, during the announcements of G.B.M. meetings in various London areas, the same procedure occurred. After indignant local protests, more challenges were issued to the protesters, with the same result.

In each case the recipient of the challenge came up with the same excuse - that he was not going to provide a platform for the expression of 'abhorrent' views!

To this day, the invitations have continued, and the refusals have been repeated. The little hot-air men of the old parties are so convinced of the superiority of their case, but are petrified, it seems, to face us in open debate.

Come on you upholders of 'freedom' - is there one of you prepared to put your views, as well as your 'democratic' principles to the test? Let's hear from you, and let's settle our differences out in the open, as men should. Or ARE you really men?

We begin to doubt it.

## DEVELOPMENT OF SPEARHEAD

THIS month we are producing a twelve-page SPEARHEAD, and so giving a better shillings-worth to our readers. We have always wanted to extend our magazine to this size, but have been entirely dependent upon the acquisition of the needed financial resources. Better sales last month have given us the chance to produce a bigger and better issue. We cannot guarantee continuance at this size, but we will try our best, and will manage if you help us.

This can best be done by doing what many are now doing: purchasing a set number of copies each month for resale to friends and neighbours. Last month the response in this direction was most encouraging, and this month we must try and do even better still.

Also we realise that a magazine can only really become established once it has a large sale in newsagents. To get SPEARHEAD into these newsagents is one of our main future tasks. If you can

take some copies to give as samples to your newsagent you will be doing a great service. We are prepared to supply you at bulk rates of eightpence each for any quantities above 20. This is the rate we charge retailers, and we are prepared to start on a sale-or-return basis. Naturally, if you can pay the standard rate of a shilling for bulk supplies for sale to friends and neighbours at the same rate this would be preferred.

To get SPEARHEAD established on a really solid commercial basis in the future is an essential aim in the furtherance of our cause. Whatever improvement in the journalistic quality, and whatever the greatness of the principles that are championed, we can only succeed by being commercially competitive along with other publications.

Help us to do this.



# Why Segregation is Necessary

by PAUL TREVELYAN

**A**PARTHEID, practised in South Africa where Black outnumbers White, is unfailingly condemned by the self-appointed spokesmen of a Britain where White, at the moment, outnumbers Black. Even those Press pundits who sometimes venture a mild attempt at objectivity in their writings on South Africa apparently dare not complete their copy, regardless of whether it concerns South African fruit, sport, tourism or some other completely non-political subject without an attack on the 'evils of separate development'. The most common form of attack is the almost casual reference to apartheid as being 'naturally' or 'of course' wrong. No attempt is made to justify such remarks. It would appear that the reader is expected to agree with the writer that 'of course' apartheid is wrong. Or is it that this is the impression the writer deliberately wishes to create?

Should the reader feel unsure of his own attitude towards the separation of the races then he is made to feel either guilty, callous, or at least uninformed on the subject. After all, how would YOU feel if YOU were a Black in South Africa? The fact of the matter is that the Briton does not and can never know how it feels to be a Black in South Africa or anywhere else. He can only imagine life within the scope of his own knowledge and in accord with the mental approach and values of his own race, which is not Black. The 'we are all men under the skin' and 'all members of the human race' claptrap seems to have become generally accepted for no better reason than that 'everybody' says so. People in this country evidently no longer realise that the human SPECIES is comprised of many completely different races. They will accept the hereditary physical differences without acknowledging the hereditary mental differences that accompany them. One wonders what the reaction of one of the more vociferous racial egalitarians would be to the proposition that if we, the British, are so little different to the Negro, then how much less different we must be to our German cousins of, let it never be forgotten, Auschwitz and Belsen fame?

No intelligent person is opposed to the mixing of the races merely because the colour of the skin is different. It is what goes with that skin colour that matters. It is the background of those races of different colour that is of importance. A background of uninterrupted savagery and lack of any advancement or achievement. Let us remember that those Negroes who are today regarded as 'successful' are 'successful' in the context of a system devised and administered by White men. In any case, this 'success' is invariably in either the field of sport or entertainment, never in the realms of art and science.

Those of us who are opposed to the mixing of Black and White must, I submit, avoid argument on the Progressive's

The writer, who has lived many years in Africa, warns against a prejudgement of the race issue based on ignorance and emotion

terms, based as they are on falsehood and sentiment. We must present our case in an unemotional way. We must stick to the facts that history has taught us and try to make reason, for once, prevail.

## Where Integration Leads

I have purposely used the term 'mixing of the races' without specifying either social mixing or inter-marriage. My reason is that the one will inevitably lead to the other and we must therefore regard the seemingly harmless social mixing of the races as the first step towards miscegenation. It is not that playing sport, dancing, receiving education together are in themselves dangerous. It is to the future that we must look. Our children are increasingly exposed to the menace of miscegenation as daily more and more non-White children flood the classrooms of our schools and colleges. When Black and White speak the same language and live in the same community the prospect of inter-marriage becomes an all too terrifying reality.

If we in Britain and the White races in general are to survive and pass on to future generations the concentrated ability and knowledge of our forefathers, then we must ensure that the vessels who will one day receive this spirit are as capable of receiving and using it as were our ancestors. Unless we take positive steps NOW to ensure the future of our spirit as embodied in our own kind the world will shortly be populated with half-breeds, typified by Brazil, inherently incapable of sustaining or developing any worthwhile culture.

## THOUGHT FOR YOUTH

We were dreamers, dreaming greatly, in the man-stifled town;  
We yearned beyond the skyline where the strange roads go down.  
Came the Whisper, came the Vision, came the Power with the Need,  
Till the Soul that is not man's soul was lent us to lead.

As the deer breaks—as the steer breaks—from the herd where they graze,  
In the faith of little children we went on our ways.  
Then the wood failed—then the food failed—then the last water dried—  
In the faith of little children we lay down and died.  
On the sand-drift—on the veldt-side—in the fern-scrub we lay,  
That our sons might follow after by the bones on the way.  
Follow after—follow after! We have watered the root,  
And the bud has come to blossom that ripens for fruit!  
Follow after—we are waiting, by the trails that we lost,  
For the sound of many footsteps, for the tread of a host.  
Follow after—follow after—for the harvest is sown:  
By the bones about the wayside ye shall come to your own!

RUDYARD KIPLING

Printed and Published by Albion Press, London S.E.27.



The end product of an acquaintance that began innocently

For the sake of those as yet unborn I implore you to keep our race and its qualities intact. Should you not care about the future then at least you owe an obligation to the past, to those of our kind who for a thousand years have maintained the qualities of our race. Did they fight and die for nought?

Read the Nationalist Viewpoint

## VIKING BOOKS

106 Norwood High Street  
London S.E.27.

(Tel. GIPsy Hill 0118)

SHOP OPEN:-

Mon.-Fri. 7 to 10 p.m.

Sat. 2 to 10 p.m.

Send for Our Free Catalogue NOW!

## Subscribe to SPEARHEAD

**E**NSURE you always get your copy of 'Spearhead' by placing yourself on our list of postal subscribers. Fill in your name and address below, and send it, with the required subscription, to our address at:-

Albion Press, 106 Norwood High St.,  
London S.E.27.

NAME.....

ADDRESS.....

Copy to be sealed/unsealed.

Enclosed subscription of.....

### Rates (12 issues)

U.K. & Ireland: 17s. (sealed)  
15s. (unsealed)  
Commonwealth (excl. Canada):  
22/6 (sealed)  
15s. (unsealed)  
Canada: \$3.00 (sealed)  
\$2.50 (unsealed)  
U.S.A. \$3.50 (sealed)  
\$2.50 (unsealed)  
Others - at local equivalent of:  
22s. (sealed)  
15s. (unsealed)